

CASH TRANSFERS MYTHS vs. REALITY

Cash transfers are regular money payments to poor households

MYTHS

REALITY

Cash will be wasted on alcohol and tobacco



1-2%

Alcohol and tobacco represent 1-2% of food expenditures



Across 6 countries, no evidence of increased expenditure on alcohol and tobacco

In Lesotho, alcohol expenditure actually decreased



Transfers are just a 'hand-out' and do not contribute to development

In Zambia, evidence shows cash transfers increased farmland by



and the use of seeds, fertilizer, and hired labor

As more agricultural inputs were used, overall production increased by



and farmers engaged more in markets

Majority of programmes show significant increase in secondary school enrolment and in spending on school uniforms and shoes



Cash causes dependency, laziness



In several countries, including Malawi and Zambia, research finds reduction in casual wage labour, shift to on-farm and more productive activities



There is little evidence transfers lead to reduction in work effort



In fact, cash transfers lead to positive multiplier effects in local economies and significantly boost growth and development in rural areas

Transfers lead to price inflation and disrupt local economy



No inflation detected in 6 case study countries

Why not?



Beneficiaries are a small share of community, typically 15-20%

They come from poorest households, with low purchasing power and thus don't buy enough to affect market prices



Local economies can meet the increased demand



In Ethiopia, for every dollar transferred by the programme, about \$1.50 was generated for the local economy

Child-focused grants increase fertility rate



In Zambia, cash transfers showed no impact on fertility



Early pregnancy reduced by 34% in Kenya, 10.5% points in South Africa



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



#Ev2Act
fao.org/social-protection
unicef-irc.org/research/273

© FAO 2016



16460EN/1/11.16